## THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Department of Mathematics

# MATH 2055 Tutorial 5 (Oct 21)

1. True or False.

(a)  $\{x_n\}$  converges  $\iff$  all subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_{k_l}}\}$ 

Solution: False

 $x_n = (-1)^n$  is counter example

all subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded sequence, and hence the subsequence has a convergent subsequence by Bolzano Weierstrass Theorem

but  $\{x_n\}$  is divergent

(b) If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| = 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges.

Solution: False

 $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$  is counter example

 $\{x_n\}$  is increasing

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2^m} &= \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^m} \\ &= (\frac{1}{1}) + (\frac{1}{2}) + (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{5} + \dots + \frac{1}{8}) + \dots (\frac{1}{2^r + 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{r+1}}) + \dots \\ &+ (\frac{1}{2^{m-1} + 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^m}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{m+1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\{x_n\}$  is unbounded and divergent

(c) If  $f(\frac{1}{2^n})$  converge to f(0), then f is continuous at 0

#### Solution: False

by definition, we should check all sequence which tends to 0 , not just a particular sequence

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{3^n} \text{ for some natural number n} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a counter example

it don't have right continuity

- 2. Prove that the following function is continuous
  - (a)  $f(x) = r^x$  where r is positive real number

Solution:  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R},$ case 1,  $r \ge 1$ Recall that  $\lim_{m \to \infty} r^{\frac{1}{m}} = 1$  ( homework 2)  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M_1 \text{ such that for all } m > M_1, |r^{\frac{1}{m}} - 1| < \frac{\epsilon}{|r^x|}$ similarly,  $\lim_{m \to \infty} r^{\frac{-1}{m}} = 1$   $\exists M_2 \text{ such that for all } m > M_2, |r^{\frac{-1}{m}} - 1| < \frac{\epsilon}{|r^x|}$ for all sequence  $\{x_n\}$  which tends to x,  $\exists N, \text{ such that } \frac{-1}{\max\{M_1, M_2\}+1} < x_n - x < \frac{1}{\max\{M_1, M_2\}+1}$ because  $r \ge 1$ ,  $r^{\frac{-1}{\max\{M_1, M_2\}+1}} \le r^{-|x_n - x|} \le r^{x_n - x} \le r^{|x_n - x|} \le r^{\frac{1}{\max\{M_1, M_2\}+1}}$   $\Longrightarrow 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{|r^x|} < r^{x_n - x} < 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{|r^x|}$   $|r^{x_n} - r^x| = |r^x||r^{x_n - x} - 1| < \epsilon$   $\therefore$  { $r^{x_n}$ } tends to  $r^x$ , and hence f is continuous

case 2, for  $r \leq 1$  we do similar things

(b)  $f(x) = max\{g(x), h(x)\}\$ where g, h are continuous function

Solution: take  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , Case 1,  $h(x) \neq g(x)$ , WLOG, we can assume  $h(x) \geq g(x)$  $\forall \epsilon \text{ such that } \frac{h(x) - g(x)}{2} > \epsilon > 0$ because h is continuous,  $\exists \delta_1$  such that  $\forall y_1 \in (x - \delta_1, x + \delta_1), |h(y_1) - h(x)| < \epsilon$ because g is continuous,  $\exists \delta_2$  such that  $\forall y_2 \in (x - \delta_2, x + \delta_2), |g(y_2) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ let  $\delta = max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\},\$  $\forall y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta),$  $h(y) > h(x) - \frac{h(x) - g(x)}{2} = g(x) + \frac{h(x) - g(x)}{2} > g(y)$  $\therefore f(y) = h(y)$  $\implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  $\implies$  f is continuous at x case 2, h(x) = g(x),  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ because h is continuous,  $\exists \delta_1$  such that  $\forall y_1 \in (x - \delta_1, x + \delta_1), |h(y_1) - h(x)| < \epsilon$ because g is continuous,  $\exists \delta_2$  such that  $\forall y_2 \in (x - \delta_2, x + \delta_2), |g(y_2) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ 

let  $\delta = max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\},\$ 

 $\forall y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta),$ 

$$\begin{split} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq \max\{|f(x) - h(y)|, |f(x) - g(y)|\} \\ &= \max\{|h(x) - h(y)|, |g(x) - g(y)|\} \\ &< \epsilon \end{split}$$

 $\therefore$  f is continuous at x

(c) 
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ x \sin \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Soliution:

only need to prove the continuity at 0

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 , \forall x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon),$$
  
if  $x \neq 0$ ,  
$$|f(x) - f(0)| = |x \sin \frac{1}{x}| \le |x| < \epsilon$$
  
if  $x = 0$   
$$|f(x) - f(0)| = 0 < \epsilon$$
  
 $\therefore$  f is continuous at 0

3. given a sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , let  $A = \{x | \exists$  subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  tends to x  $\}$ 

Can A has uncountable infinitely many elements?

Solution:

set of all rational number  $\mathbb Q$  are countable and hence you can list all rational number as a sequence

for any real number **r** , we can find a subsequence of the sequence above which tends to **r** 

consider digital representation

4. Prove that all bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a monotone subsequence.

### Solution:

Fist, we define peak index

m is a peak index for sequence  $\{a_n\} \iff a_n \le a_m \forall n \ge m$ 

Case 1, if there are infinitely many peak index

we can take  $n_k = k$ -th peak index

by definition,  $a_k \ge a_{k+1}$  as k is peak index

 $\therefore \{a_{n_k}\}$  is decreasing sequence

case 2, there are only finite peak index

 $\exists N$ , such that there are no peak index greater than N

take  $n_1 = N + 1$ ,

 $n_1$  is not peak index ,

 $\therefore \exists n_2 > n_1$  such that  $a_{n_2} > a_{n_1}$ , also  $n_2$  is not peak index

recursively, we can take a increasing subsequence  $\{a_{n_i}\}$ 

5. Given sequence of bounded sequence  $\{a_{1,n}\}, \{a_{2,n}\}, \{a_{3,n}\}, \{a_{4,n}\}, \dots$ prove that there is a subsequence of natural number , say  $\{n_k\}$ , such that  $\{a_{i,n_k}\}$  converge for all i

#### Solution:

idea: Subsequence of convergent sequence are convergent. we can try to apply Bolzano Weierstrass theorem iteratively such that the final subsequence "nearly" inside a convergent subsequence of each  $\{a_{i,n}\}$ 

 $\therefore$  { $a_{1,m}$ } is bounded,  $\exists$  subsequence { $m_{1,k}$ } of {m} such that { $a_{1,m_{1,k}}$ } converges

take  $n_1 = m_{1,1}$ 

 $\{a_{2,m_{1,k}}\}$  is bounded,  $\exists$  subsequence  $\{m_{2,k}\}$  of  $\{m_{1,k}\}$  such that  $\{a_{2,m_{2,k}}\}$  converges

WLOG, we can assume  $m_{2,1} > m_{1,1}$ 

take  $n_2 = m_{2,1}$ 

Inductively, we can find a sequence  $n_k$ , such that  $a_{i,n_k}$  is a subsequence of  $a_{i,m_{i,k}}$ 

 $\implies \{a_{i,n_k}\}$  converges for all i